

## Template for Australian Ranking of ICT Conferences

<b>Conference</b>	<p><i>Acronym:</i> Percom</p> <p><i>Full name:</i> IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications</p> <p><i>Website:</i> <a href="http://www.percom.org/">http://www.percom.org/</a></p>
<b>Proposed rank</b>	Tier 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tier 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Tier 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Tier 4 <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Area of research</b>	
<b>Peer review process</b>	<p><i>Review of:</i> full paper <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> extended abstract <input type="checkbox"/> short abstract <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>Number of referees for each paper:</i> _ _ _ _</p> <p><i>Review process:</i> blind <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> double blind <input type="checkbox"/> open <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><i>Are authors invited to write a rejoinder?</i> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Acceptance rate (if known): ~10%</p>
<b>Program committee</b>	<p><i>Comment on the composition of the committee (e.g. the proportion that are leading researchers in the field? Are they from the premier institutions for the field?)</i></p> <p>Leading researchers at prestigious organisations</p>
<b>Quality of papers</b>	<p><i>Comment on the quality of the papers presented (e.g. whether the work presented shapes the field; whether the quality of papers is uniform or 'patchy', etc)</i></p> <p>While the area is reasonably new it is growing dramatically with large research teams at Stanford, Berkeley, Caltech, MIT, Carnegie Mellon, IBM, Intel, etc. and many very large European projects. There is a large number of conferences in the area of pervasive/ubiquitous/computing (most of them not listed in your list) however these two are known for their high quality. The high quality comes from two factors:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All the best US and European teams submit their papers to these conferences and the competition is fierce as the acceptance rate is 9-12%</li> <li>2. These conferences have unbelievably thorough and fair reviewing processes. For example PerCom has a reputable program committee, after the reviewing is finished, there are three weeks of discussions on reviews through the conference system in which the program committee members have to defend their reviews, this is followed by a PC meeting in the US in which most of the program committee members have to participate. The PC looks again at each paper which has a chance to be accepted to evaluate the level of innovation. Therefore even good reviews (which are rare) do not guarantee acceptance.</li> </ol>

<b>Comparative assessment</b>	<p><i>Name some other conferences in the field that are:</i></p> <p><i>of similar quality? _Pervasive _ _ _ _ _</i></p> <p><i>of higher quality? _ _ _ _ _</i></p> <p><i>of lower quality? _ _ _ _ _</i></p>
<b>Ranking</b>	<p><i>Within the field, what number would you rank it? _ _ 1 _</i></p>
<b>Participants</b>	<p><i>Are the top researchers in the field core participants?</i></p> <p>all X   most <input type="checkbox"/>   some <input type="checkbox"/>   none <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<b>Additional contextual information</b>	<p><i>Please provide any additional information that will assist in a comparative assessment of the conference</i></p>
<b>Your name and institution</b>	<p>Dr x, University y</p>